

Anja Thomas

Assemblée Nationale, Bundestag and the European Union

The Micro-Sociological Causes of the
European Integration Paradox



Nomos

Studies on the European Union

Series Editor:

Wolfgang Wessels

Jean Monnet Chair *ad personam* for Political Science

CETEUS | Centre for Turkey and European Union Studies

University of Cologne

Volume 14

Anja Thomas

Assemblée Nationale, Bundestag and the European Union

The Micro-Sociological Causes of the
European Integration Paradox



Nomos

Supported by Cercle Pflimlin and the Institut d'Études Politiques de Strasbourg (Prix Pflimlin 2017).

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>

a.t.: Paris/ Köln, Sciences Po/Univ., Diss., 2016

ISBN 978-3-8487-4772-6 (Print)
978-3-8452-9029-4 (ePDF)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-3-8487-4772-6 (Print)
978-3-8452-9029-4 (ePDF)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Thomas, Anja

Assemblée Nationale, Bundestag and the European Union

The Micro-Sociological Causes of the European Integration Paradox

Anja Thomas

359 pp.

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 978-3-8487-4772-6 (Print)
978-3-8452-9029-4 (ePDF)

D38

1st Edition 2019

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden, Germany 2019. Printed and bound in Germany.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publishers. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to "Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort", Munich.

No responsibility for loss caused to any individual or organization acting on or refraining from action as a result of the material in this publication can be accepted by Nomos or the author.

*À Gaël et Anaïs
Meinen Eltern*

List of abbreviations

AN:	Assemblée nationale
BBV:	Bundestags-Bundesregierungs-Vereinbarung (Germany)
BT:	Bundestag
C:	Groupe Communiste
CDSP:	Common Foreign Security and Defence Policy
CDU:	Christlich-Demokratische Union
CFSP:	Common Foreign Security and Policy
COSAC:	Conférence des Organes Parlementaires Spécialisés dans les Affaires de l'Union des Parlements de l'Union Européenne
COREPER:	Comité des Représentants Permanents
CSU:	Christlich-Soziale Union Deutschlands
DL:	Die Linke
EAC:	European Affairs Committee
EC:	European Community / Communities
ECJ:	European Court of Justice
EELV:	Europe Ecologie Les Verts
EMU:	Economic and Monetary Union
EP:	European Parliament
EU:	European Union
EUZBBG:	Gesetz über die Zusammenarbeit von Bundesregierung und Deutschem Bundestag in Angelegenheiten der Europäischen Union (Germany)
EWM:	Early Warning Mechanism
FDP:	Freie Demokratische Partei
GDR:	Groupe de la Gauche Démocrate et Républicaine
MEP:	Member of the European Parliament
MP:	Member of Parliament
na:	non affiliated
NC:	Nouveau Centre
PA1:	Unit PA 1 – Europe
PDS:	Partei des Demokratischen Sozialismus
PPG:	Parliamentary Party Group
PS:	Parti Socialiste
QMV:	Qualified Majority Voting

List of abbreviations

RPR:	Rassemblement Pour la République
SGAE:	Secrétariat Général des Affaires Européennes
SGCI:	Secrétariat Général du Comité Interministériel pour les questions de coopération économique européenne
SOC:	Groupe Socialiste
SPD:	Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands
SRC:	Groupe Socialiste, Républicain et Citoyen
UDC:	Union Démocratique du Centre
UDF:	Union pour la Démocratie Française
UMP:	Union pour un Mouvement Populaire
TEU:	Treaty on European Union
TFEU:	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TSCG:	Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance

Table of Content

List of Figures	13
List of Tables	15
INTRODUCTION	17
Political and academic relevance	19
Contribution to current research	21
Theoretical framework and research design	25
Research object and central terminology	27
Book structure	28
CHAPTER I – Social theory and the institutionalisation of parliamentary EU practices	34
A – The institutionalisation of EU practices	35
1) Europeanisation and institutionalisation	35
2) The new institutionalisms and their bloodless actors	36
3) Bringing social interaction and genuine institutions back in	38
4) ‘Doing EU’ as an ‘ordered practice’	40
B – Revisiting Berger and Luckmann: Typification of actors and action	43
1) Institutionalisation of a new social order	43
2) Parliaments as ‘permanent’ solutions to ‘permanent’ problems	44
3) Old and new ‘ways of doing things’ in national parliaments	46
4) The search for functional equivalents to domestic roles	48
C – Revisiting Max Weber’s ‘old’ institutionalism: Motives for action	51
1) Weberian actors and their ability for discretion	52
2) Democracy as constitutive value of parliamentary institutions	55
3) ‘Ideological’ and ‘instrumental’ motives for action	56
4) Institutionalisation and ‘institutional’ motives for action	59
D – Conclusion	60

Table of Content

CHAPTER II – A framework for the analysis of the macro-effects of micro-level Europeanisation	63
A – Research design	63
1) Generalisability: Combining diachronic and synchronic comparison	64
2) Case selection	66
a) Temporal cases: the Maastricht and Lisbon periods	67
b) Spatial cases: the Assemblée nationale and the Bundestag	70
B – A model for the institutionalisation of ‘doing EU’ and its effects on MPs’ discursive action	80
1) ‘Europe’ as a process: potential pitfalls	81
2) Grasping the process from a practice perspective at the actors’ micro level	82
3) Hypotheses and indicators	82
a) Indicators for the typification of types of actors	83
b) Indicators for the typification of action	86
c) Indicators for motives for discursive action	89
C – Conclusion	92
CHAPTER III 1979-99 – The Maastricht period – Acting Europe	94
A – Assemblée nationale	95
1) Actors and agency	96
a) European affairs body and EU experts	96
b) Agents of change regarding formal rules and organisation	100
2) Action and participation patterns	109
a) Participation patterns and their meaning	109
b) Interaction with EU transnational actors	115
B – Bundestag	119
1) Actors and agency	120
a) European affairs body and EU experts	120
b) Agents of change of formal rules	127
2) Action and participation patterns	143
a) Participation patterns and their meaning	143
b) Interaction with EU transnational actors	147
C – Conclusion	149

CHAPTER IV 2000-13 – The Lisbon period – Performing Europe	152
A – Assemblée nationale	153
1) Actors and agency	154
a) European affairs body and EU expertise	155
b) Agents of change of formal rules	166
2) Action and participation patterns	174
a) Use and meaning of formal and informal instruments	175
b) Interaction with transnational actors	184
B – Bundestag	191
1) Actors and agency	191
a) European affairs body and EU expertise	192
b) Agents of change of formal rules	195
2) Action and participation patterns	209
a) Use and meaning of formal and informal instruments	209
b) Interaction with transnational actors	216
C – Conclusion	218
CHAPTER V – The ‘domestication of Europe’ and its feedback into MPs’ role perceptions	222
A – More similar debates, diverging treatment of parliaments	226
1) Attention to the role of parliaments	227
a) More similar attention by individual speakers in the Lisbon debates	228
b) Not more attention in terms of floor time in the Lisbon debates	230
2) More different priorities for role models in the Lisbon debates	232
a) Maastricht: the Domestic Control Body is strongest in both chambers	233
b) Lisbon: entirely different role models	235
B – The Maastricht debates: ‘ideological’ cleavages	237
1) French Centrists, German CDU/CSU, SPD and FDP	241
a) Multi-level parliamentarism	241
b) Domestic Control Body	255
c) Third Chamber	262
2) French Socialists and RPR	264
a) Domestic Control Body	264
b) Multi-level parliamentarism	269

Table of Content

c) Third chamber	274
3) Eurosceptic parliamentary party groups	277
a) None of the legitimacy channels	277
b) Only the EP	280
C – The Lisbon debates: An emerging ‘institutional’ cleavage	284
1) Assemblée nationale: Multi-level parliamentarism and Third Chamber	285
a) Parliamentary party groups with regular governmental responsibilities	286
b) MPs from parliamentary party groups with few government responsibilities	298
2) MPs in the Bundestag: Domestic Control Body and individual role	299
a) MPs from parliamentary party groups with regular government responsibilities	300
b) MPs from parliamentary party groups without government responsibilities	317
D – Conclusion: From an ‘ideological’ to a ‘domesticised’ evaluation of the role of parliaments in the EU	318
CONCLUSION – A micro-sociological view on the European integration paradox	321
Main findings	322
Research perspectives and extension	326
What lessons to learn for the EU polity?	328
Bibliography	331
List of interviews	347
Appendix	355

List of Figures

Figure 1: Institutionalisation of the practice of ‘doing EU’ in national parliaments	51
Figure 2: Institutionalisation and motives for action	60
Figure 3: Mill's method of difference (diachronic comparison)	65
Figure 4: Mill's method of agreement (synchronic comparison)	66
Figure 5: EU resolutions – percentage of incoming texts falling under 88.4	179
Figure 6: Meetings of the EC/EU Delegation/Committee (1980-2014)	181
Figure 7: Single mention of EP or national parliaments in contributions (Maastricht)	229
Figure 8: Single mention of EP or national parliaments in contributions (Lisbon)	230
Figure 9: Floor time dedicated to the EP or national parliaments (Maastricht)	231
Figure 10: Floor time dedicated to the EP or national parliaments (Lisbon)	232
Figure 11: Roles models according to chambers (Maastricht)	233
Figure 12: Role models according to chambers (Lisbon)	236

List of Figures

Figure 13: Assemblée nationale: Role models according to PPGs (Maastricht)	239
Figure 14: Bundestag: Role models according to PPGs (Maastricht)	240
Figure 15: Assemblée nationale: Role models according to PPGs (Lisbon)	284
Figure 16: Bundestag: Role models according to PPGs (Lisbon)	285

List of Tables

Table 1:	Parliamentary functions on the domestic level	77
Table 2:	Indicators for motives of evaluation of discourse	92
Table 3:	Assemblée nationale: Evolution of formal prerogatives (Maastricht period)	102
Table 4:	Assemblée nationale: Plenary debates (1989-1997)	114
Table 5:	Assemblée nationale: Contact with EU transnational actors (1996)	119
Table 6:	Bundestag: Evolution of formal prerogatives (Maastricht period)	128
Table 7:	Bundestag: Participation rights – ratification of the treaty of Maastricht	133
Table 8:	Bundestag: Contact with EU transnational actors (1996)	148
Table 9:	Meetings of Assemblée nationale’s European affairs body (2002-2015)	182
Table 10:	Assemblée nationale: Political dialogue and EWM (2006-2014)	187
Table 11:	Bundestag: Evolution of formal prerogatives (Lisbon period)	197
Table 12:	Bundestag: Political dialogue and EWM (2006-2014)	213

